



Chris Lasse Däbritz

Locative and existential predication in Samoyedic languages

Agenda

- The project
 - General issues
 - Languages and data
- Overview: Existential and locative predication in Samoyedic languages
- Discussion: Open questions and topics

The project - Overview

- DFG-funded research project “Lokative und existentielle Prädikation in den Sprachen des Ob-Jenissej-Areals: Typologie und Informationsstruktur”
- 07/2022 - 06/2025
- Affiliated at IfUU, Universität Hamburg
- Overall goal:
 - Describe linguistic expressions of LOC-EX-predication + typology
 - Explore role of information structure in LOC-EX-predication

The project - Languages and data

- Languages
 - Samoyedic (except for Mator)
 - Ob-Ugric
 - Dolgan & Chulym
 - Ewenki
 - Ket
- Data
 - Corpora and databases (e.g. INEL, Ob-Ugric Database)
 - Texts and text collections
 - (grammars)
 - (previous research)

The project - Methodology and annotation

- Data collection
- Annotation of relevant clauses **within their context**
- Analysis with i.a. concordance searches → combination of qualitative and quantitative methods

Dřin nüken ko?bdo ibi, i dř büz'en ko?bdo.

Dřin	nüken	ko?bdo	ibi,
dř-n	nüke-n	ko?bdo	i-bi
dř-n	nüke-n	ko?bdo	i-bi
this-GEN	woman-GEN	girl. [NOM.SG]	be-PST.[3SG]
этот-GEN	женщина-GEN	девушка. [NOM.SG]	быть-PST.[3SG]
dempro-n:case	n-n:case	n-n:case	v-v:tense-v:pn
dempro	n	n	v
Poss:Cop.Aff			

У этой жены была дочь, и у этого мужчины дочь.

Locative and existential predication - Theory

- EX-LOC predication
 - relates two entities X and Y to each other
 - X: figure and Y: ground
 - often non-verbal predication
 - tightly interwoven in many languages of the world (Lyons 1967, Clark 1978, Freeze 1992, Koch 2012, Creissels 2019, Haspelmath 2022...)
- Wide variation of terminology: *plain-locationals* (Creissels 2019), *predlocatives* (Haspelmath 2022), *inverse-locationals* (Creissels 2019) etc

Locative and existential predication - Theory

	Locative	Existential	Possessive
Simplified Semantics	X is at Y	at Y, there is X	Y has X
Definiteness	X: mostly definite Y: definite or indefinite	X: mostly indefinite Y: (mostly definite)	X: mostly indefinite Y: (mostly definite)
Animacy	X: animate or inanimate Y: mostly inanimate	X: animate or inanimate Y: mostly inanimate	X: animate or inanimate Y: mostly animate
Information Structure	X: topic Y: focus	X: focus Y: topic (if expressed)	X: focus Y: topic
Perspectivization	X → Y	Y → X	Y → X

LOC/EX-predication in Samoyedic languages

- Instances of non-verbal predication (but...)
- Linking element
 - affirmative clauses
 - Kamas & Selkup: copula
 - Northern Samoyedic: copulas, locative verbs and existential items
 - negative clauses: negative existentials in all languages
- Unmarked (?) word order: FIG-GR-COP in LOC, GR-FIG-COP in EX

LOC/EX-predication in Samoyedic languages - affirmative

- (1a) *Wen'ako m'a-kəna me.*
dog tent-LOC.SG be.at.3SG
'The dog is in the tent.'
(Tundra Nenets; Nikolaeva 2014: 263)

- (1b) *Pedara-xəna tudako-?* *təńa-?*
forest-LOC.SG mushroom-PL there.is-3PL
'There are mushrooms in the forest.'
(Tundra Nenets; Nikolaeva 2014: 251)

LOC/EX-predication in Samoyedic languages - negative

- (2a) *Da tän gijen=də i nago-bia-l, [...].*
and you where=INDEF and NEG.EX-PST-2SG
'But you haven't been anywhere, [you lived here].'
(Kamas; Gusev et al. 2019, PKZ_196X_AngryLady_flk.044)
- (2b) *Naga, man'ək-kən ne naga.*
NEG.EX.3SG vicinity-LOC woman NEG.EX.3SG
'There is no [woman], there is no woman around.'
(Kamas; Gusev et al. 2019, AA_1914_Corpse_flk.003)

LOC/EX-predication in Samoyedic languages - quantifiers

- (3a) *Män turan'i uganda tumo i?gö.*
I.GEN house-LOC.1SG very mouse many
'In my house, there are many mice.' [lit. 'In my house, the mice are very many.'](Kamas; Gusev et al. 2019, PKZ_196X_SU0229.202)
- (3b) *D'urak noda-?, to-ne d'a-xan te oka.*
Nenets hear-3PL.S that-LOCADV earth-LOC reindeer many
'The Nenets got to know [that] there are many reindeer in this land.'(Forest Enets; Unpublished Enets Corpus, YKD_1969_War_flk.007)

LOC/EX-predication in Samoyedic languages - posture verb

- (4a) [...] *n'ari-t peläš-šanj ḥ:mta.*
tundra-GEN side-COR sit.3SG

‘[Then he sees a tent, a large tent,] it is (standing) in the middle of the tundra.’
(Northern Selkup; Budzisch et al. 2019; AAI_1973_Okyle_flk.054)

- (4b) *Manni-mpa-ti konnä, warqə mɔ:t ḥ:mna-nti.*
give.a.look-DUR-3SG.O upwards big tent sit-INFER.3SG
- ‘He looks upwards, a big tent is (standing) there.’
(Northern Selkup; Brykina et al. 2020; SAI_1965_LittleDoll_flk.027)

Previous research

- Comparative studies
 - Wagner-Nagy 2011: focusing on negation, covering all Samoyedic languages, both LOC and EX constructions
 - Wagner-Nagy 2016: focusing on existentials and definiteness
 - Däbritz 2022: focusing on LOC (morphosyntax and typology)
 - ???
- Language-specific studies (apart from grammars): Budzisch 2017 (Selkup)...

Discussion: Domain of LOC-EX-predication

- Semantic-pragmatic definition (as shown above) →
 - Advantage: linguistic expressions can be included regardless of their morphosyntax (posture verbs, quantifiers...)
 - Disadvantage: Rather fuzzy limits
- Morphosyntactic definition (e.g. „clauses with figure subject, locational predicate“) →
 - Advantage: clear-cut limit
 - Disadvantage: semantically fitting expressions may be excluded

Discussion: Terminology of linking elements

- Nganasan: existential verbs *təisja*, *tənijsja* and *d'angujsja*, existential particle *d'ąŋku*, copula *isja*
- Enets: existential verbs FE *tõnee-* TE *tõne-*, FE *djago-* TE *djigu-*, copulas TE *a-* FE *ŋa-* and *ɛ-*
- Tundra Nenets: existential verbs *təńa-* and *jəŋku-*, copulas *ŋa-* and *me-*
- Selkup: existential verb *čääŋki-* (+ variants), copula *ɛ-*
- Kamas: existential verb *nago-*, copula *i-*

Discussion: Topics and open questions (not exhaustive)

- Non-canonical constructions
 - Posture verbs: How to decide whether sentences like (4a-b) are instances of LOC-EX predication? Criteria?
 - Quantificational constructions (3a-b): semantics clearly LOC/EX, but syntax rather predicate nominals/adjectives
- Polarity split: variation in affirmative, but consistently NEG.EX in negative
 - Coincidence? Ideas for functional motivation?
- Semantics (e.g. animacy) of figure element - any relevance?

References

- Brykina, Maria & Orlova, Svetlana & Wagner-Nagy, Beáta 2020. *INEL Selkup Corpus*. <http://hdl.handle.net/11022/0000-0007-E1D5-A>.
- Budzisch, Josefina & Harder, Anja & Wagner-Nagy, Beáta 2019. *Selkup Language Corpus (SLC)*.
<http://hdl.handle.net/11022/0000-0007-D009-4>
- Däbritz, Chris Lasse 2022. On the typology of locative predication in Samoyedic languages. In: Kaheinen, Kaisla & Leisiö, Larisa & Erkkilä, Riku & Qiu, Toivo E.H. (eds.). *Hämeenmaalta Jamalille. Kirja Tapani Salmiselle*, 53–68. Helsinki: Helda Open books.
- Gusev, Valentin & Klooster, Tiina & Wagner-Nagy, Beáta 2019. INEL Kamas Corpus. Version 1.0.
<http://hdl.handle.net/11022/0000-0007-DA6E-9>.
- Wagner-Nagy, Beáta 2011. *On the typology of negation in Ob-Ugric and Samoyedic languages*. SUST 262. Helsinki: SUS.
- Wagner-Nagy, Beáta 2016. Existentials, Possessives and Definiteness in Samoyedic Languages. In: Fischer, Susann & Kupisch, Tanja & Rinke, Esther (eds.). *Definiteness Effects: Bilingual, Typological and Diachronic Variation*, 213–243. Cambridge: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.