

Two left-periphery connectors in Kamas: mirative vs. neutral

Gerson Klumpp (Tartu)

When harvesting the left periphery of utterances in the Kamas text corpus (Gusev et al. 2023), there appear two prominent elements which may be called connective discourse markers because their function is to connect an utterance to previous talk (e.g. Beeching & Detges 2014: 3). One of them is neutral in terms of mirativity and the other one carries a visual-evidential, mirative meaning. The first one, *dīgattā* ‘then’ (also shortened *dittā*), is formally a demonstrative pronoun with an ablative case ending and a possessor suffix of the 3rd person singular: *dī-gāt-tā* ‘this-ABL-3SG’, literally roughly ‘from her/his this’. This connector is fully lexicalized, it does no longer agree with the subject of the utterance in person or number, but bears invariably the PX3SG (1a, b). The mirative connector is a nonfinite form of the verb *ku-* ‘see, find’ with a locative case ending and a possessor suffix, cross-referencing the subject (2a, b). This connector is less lexicalized, it still agrees. Another indicator of different grades of lexicalization is that the first connector survived in the speech of the reactivated last speaker, but the second occurs exclusively in the older texts. Formally it does not differ from conditional or temporal subordinate clauses built with the same participle in locative case and possessor suffix (3), but in connector function it appears always without any overt constituents or circumstantials. Constructions of the type “when they looked/as they saw ...” are frequent in narratives, usually introducing a new scene or a new referent in a scene from the perspective of a given protagonist. They belong to a wider type of *pre-mirative* contexts from which mirative markers may arise (Skribnik 2023). Mirativity entails notions ranging from sudden discovery until new (relevant) information (Aikhenvald 2012: 473). The connective discourse marker is of course not the only way to express such a situation. Equivalent constructions with finite verbs can be observed in Kamas as well as cross-linguistically. Judging from the occurrences in the Kamas texts, the two connectors occur in complementary distribution: once a narrative is continued with *dī(gə)ttā*, the seeing event is expressed with a finite verb (4). The Kamas mirative connector has an areal parallel in the Xakas form *kör-ze-*, formally a conditional form of the verb *kör-* ‘see, look’, inflected for person and number (5).

- (1) a. *dīgattā kambi nen-i šü-nə.*
then go.PST burn-CV fire-LAT
‘[The hare cut its nose on the steppe grass.] Then it went to the wildfire.’
(AA_1914_Hare_flk.003)
- b. *dīgattā t̄abəro-bi-i?*
then wrestle-PST-PL
‘[The girl clinged to the boy.] Then they wrestled.’ (AA_1914_Brothers_flk.066)
- (2) a. *ku-bi-n-də ular talə-j ńe?bd-ö-le?*
see-PTCP-LOC-3SG sheep rupture-CV tear-ITR-CV
‘[The man went to the corral.] When he looked/As he saw a sheep is torn into pieces.’ (AA_1914_Corpse_flk.059)
- b. *ku-bi-n-dən bozera? ine-zəbi aləp šon-namna.*
see-PTCP-LOC-3PL foxy.red horse-ADJ hero come-DUR.PRS
‘[The two boys sit at the side of the road.] When they looked/as they see a hero with a foxy red horse was coming.’ (AA_1914_Brothers_flk.020)

- (3) *tǎn ine-l ku-bi-ńi nǒrbǎ-li-m*
 2SG horse-2SG see-PTC-LOC.1SG say-FUT-1SG
 ‘When I see your horse I will tell you’ (Klumpp 2002: 129)
- (4) *dǐtta ku-bi: teǰme-bǎ saj bǎppi*
 then see-PST rope-ACC.3SG off cut.PST
 ‘[He let himself down on a rope.] Then he saw: [the other man] cut off his rope.’
 (AA_1914_Raven_flk.009)
- (5) **Кӧрзе**, вокзал isticinde милиционер пастыр чӧрче, ...
Kör-ze, vokzal istinde milicioner pastyr čör-če
 look-COND station inside.LOC policeman go.CV move-PRS
 ‘[An illiterate old woman at the train station, she has no idea where tickets are sold.]
 When (s)he looked: a policeman was going around inside the station’
 (<https://khakas.altai.ru/>, 20.01.2024)

The talk has two goals. It is argued that the connector *kubi-LOC.PX* should be understood as a mirative operator, thereby adding connectors to the class of possible evidential-mirative expressions, cf. Skribnik & Kehayov (2018: 531): “The main sources of evidential extensions in Uralic are expressions of modality, parenthetical clauses with cognition and speech verbs, and past tense forms”. Based on constructions of the type “when protagonist looks/as protagonist sees: ...” from several Siberian languages, it will be shown that the Kamas operator is a case of pragmatization (possibly followed by lexicalization) on the left periphery of the clause. The second goal is to compare the two connectors to other left-periphery elements like conjunctions, subordinated clauses, adverbs, and discourse particles, in order to determine their status as clausal or extra-clausal elements (Kaltenböck et al. 2016).

References

- Aikhenvald, Alexandra Y. 2012. The essence of mirativity. *Linguistic Typology* 16, 435–485. DOI 10.1515/lingty-2012-0017
- Beeching, Kate & Detges, Ulrich 2014. Introduction. In: Beeching, K. & U. Detges (eds.). *Discourse Functions at the Left and Right Periphery : Crosslinguistic Investigations of Language Use and Language Change*. Studies in Pragmatics 12. Leiden & Boston: Brill.
- Gusev, Valentin; Klooster, Tiina; Wagner-Nagy, Beáta. 2023. “INEL Kamas Corpus.” Version 2.0. Publication date 2023-12-31. <http://hdl.handle.net/11022/0000-0007-FC25-4>. Archived at Universität Hamburg. In: The INEL corpora of indigenous Northern Eurasian languages. <https://hdl.handle.net/11022/0000-0007-F45A-1>.
- Kaltenböck, Gunther, Evelien Keizer, and Arne Lohmann 2016 (eds.). *Outside the clause: Form and function of extra-clausal constituents*, Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Klumpp, Gerson 2002. *Konverbkonstruktionen im Kamassischen*. Veröffentlichungen der Societas Uralo-Altaica 58. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Skribnik, Elena 2023. Reading Siberian folklore: Miratives, pre-mirative contexts and “Hero’s Journey” In: Aikhenvald, Alexandra Y. & Robert L. Bradshaw & Luca Ciucci & Pema Wangdi (eds.). *Celebrating Indigenous Voice. Legends and Narratives in Languages of the Tropics and Beyond*. Anthropological Linguistics 5. Berlin & Boston: Walter de Gruyter, 237–259.
- Skribnik, Elena & Kehayov, Petar 2018. Evidentials in Uralic Languages. In: Aikhenvald, Alexandra Y. (ed.). *The Oxford Handbook of Evidentiality*. OUP, 525–553.